

**University of Hartford  
Report to the President  
Of  
Campus Safety Commission  
November 1, 2007**

## **BACKGROUND:**

In May 2007 in the wake of the campus shootings at Virginia Tech University, President Walter Harrison called for a commission to study campus safety at the University of Hartford. He named Dr. J. Lee Peters, Vice President for Student Affairs, as chair of this commission, which included Public Safety Director Judy Carlson, Professor of Communication Dr. Lynne Kelly, and Student Regent Graziano DiCiaula.

The President requested that a report be delivered to him no later than November 1, 2007.

## **PRESIDENT'S CHARGE:**

While we continue to grieve for our colleagues at Virginia Tech, it is also important for us to integrate the lessons we can learn from that tragedy to improve our own preparedness and safety on campus. We are already studying ways to do that, but our deliberations will be greatly improved by seeking advice and perceptions of safety from all segments of our community.

To that end, we have formed a Campus Safety Committee to collect impressions and advice from many groups on campus. **Dr. J. Lee Peters**, vice president of student affairs and dean of students, will chair this committee, and the following three members of our community have agreed to serve:

- Judy Carlson**, director of public safety
- Graziano DiCiaula**, junior in The Hartt School and student regent
- Dr. Lynne Kelly**, professor of communication and director of the School of Communication

The Campus Safety Committee will be meeting with a number of groups around campus in the coming weeks and months, and I have asked them to provide me with any interim reports they think are appropriate, with a final report due on November 1. If they have ideas that we can implement before then, we will certainly do so. I will continue to keep you updated.

## **METHOD OF THE COMMISSION:**

Commission members reviewed the Report of the Review Panel of the shootings at Virginia Tech University and other emergency response literature. Members also took part in teleconferences and video conferences related to campus safety. One member participated in National Incident Management System training provided by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

The Commission then began to meet with various constituent groups on campus including Council of Deans; Faculty Senate; Student Government Association; Staff Association; Parents Association; and the Student Affairs department heads. At these meetings, the Commission listened to concerns, suggestions and accolades about campus safety and security. The results obtained from all the aforementioned research are outlined in this document.

## **CULTURE OF FEAR:**

It should be noted that the Virginia Tech University shootings in May and the subsequent campus shootings at Delaware State University in September, in conjunction with the general unsettled feeling nationally of being at war and a potential target of terrorism, appear to have bred a “culture of fear” at many U.S. colleges and universities. The University of Hartford appears to have embraced this “culture of fear” as many more instances of unusual student behavior have been reported by students, faculty and staff in the fall of 2007 than during the previous five years.

During the meetings the commission had with constituent groups, this “culture of fear” was palpable. For instance, suggestions were made that were impractical at face value, but seemed that they would assuage this fear if enacted. A case in point is the suggestion to put a gate at the entrance to campus. This suggestion came up at least once in every group we listened to, even though a gate across a road with no accompanying fence would do nothing to stop someone bent on taking some kind of deadly or hurtful action. The gate idea also would have done nothing to stop the occurrences at either VTU or Delaware State, given that both crimes were perpetrated by campus community members.

We make this point because while we attempted to include all suggestions in this report, they should be viewed in the context of the atmosphere described above.

## **CURRENT CONDITIONS:**

Generally, we found that campus community members feel safe on our campus. They believe that Public Safety does a good job and that the campus climate is good here. The campus community members tend to believe that if bad things do happen on campus, they are usually perpetrated by visitors to the campus.

A look at our campus crime statistics (Appendix A) appears to support the “visitors are the problem” theory, as the bulk of our crime involves burglary and auto theft, both of which are assumed by community members to be “outsider” crimes. While this is probably true for auto theft, we believe that many burglaries are convenience crimes perpetrated by our own students when presented with open or propped doors. The point of this discussion is that regardless of perception, we must be prepared for problems originating from both without and within our campus borders.

Our current training programs for Public Safety and Residential Life staff (normal first responders in a campus emergency or crisis) are at worst, adequate, and in most cases excellent. Our equipment is up to date and we are in the process of implementing the e2Campus emergency text messaging alert system. Our campus Public Safety officer presence ranges from six per first shift to a maximum of 10 per third shift on Thursday through Saturday.

Understanding these current conditions will illuminate many of the responses of the commission to the suggestions emerging from the campus constituent groups and our review of other relevant information.

## **EMERGENT ISSUES:**

As a result of listening to campus constituencies, going through training and reading various reports on campus safety and emergency communication, the following issues emerged for our campus. We have organized these issues into broad categories including Access to Campus, Emergency Communication, Emergency Response, Dealing with Individually Troubled Community Members and Training and Education. The issues are laid out in bullet format in no particular order, with the committee's recommendation following in italics.

### **Access to Campus:**

- Gating the main entrance

*Not feasible for many reasons including logistics and the look of campus*

- Guard post at main entrance

*There is no point in pursuing this unless we are going to stop and inspect every car. This by itself is not seen as a deterrent.*

- "Locking down" entrances in an emergency

*We currently do this as necessary with cruisers and barricades. We need to block Watkinson entrance to parking lot A as well.*

- Squad car presence at the main entrance

*We do this as often as we can given staffing, attempting to have a late-night presence as often as possible.*

- Put gate by Barney then stop night traffic by B and C lot.

*Possible, but many problems/questions exist including the need for two officers, officer safety, what to do with guests, at what time would we start, etc...?*

- Open up internal halls in Complexes to create one entrance and then staff the entrances on nights and weekends.

*This is not feasible; the cost outweighs the potential benefit.*

- External fence and check-in booth for each complex

*This could be done but would be costly; the community would look like a prison. This could only be done in the Complexes. There would be perception of unfairness, which could trigger lawsuit if injury or death occurred in other housing areas.*

- Prop alarms on all non-main doors in residence halls

*Feasible, cost analysis is currently being completed*

- Can classroom doors be locked from the inside? Should they be?

*The ability to lock classroom doors (would need to be deadbolt on campus master) from inside would be an asset but is not currently available. The cost of a full campus retro-fit and the code implications are currently being explored.*

### **Emergency Communication:**

- E2 campus

*This system has been implemented, adoption recruitment is ongoing*

- Sirens/horns

*We recommend these as our low-tech mass communication vector. The cost of our preferred solution is 15-20K and we are in discussions with several vendors.*

- Cruiser PA system

*These units currently exist.*

- Building PA system

*We will look at interior horns if needed. ICALEA recommends against public address systems if they do not already exist because broadcast instructions are heard by anyone in the building, including potentially bad actors. In our case, it is cost prohibitive.*

- Email

*We do this already, it works but is slow. We did not choose this option with e2Campus because they could not improve on our own speed.*

- Voicemail

*We use this system already; it is just a slow moving option.*

- Website

*We use this system already. All alerts will “point” to the website unless it is disabled in some way.*

- Word of mouth

*This happens already, but we recommend training to make it more effective.*

- Telephone trees

*Many departments/divisions do this...we think it should be done where it makes sense but not mandated.*

- Emergency stickers on phones

*This is a good idea for administrative and faculty phones, but very few students are using land-lines any more.*

- Posting emergency numbers/procedures on the backs of doors.

*This is currently done for fire emergencies in the residence halls. It should be expanded to general emergencies and expanded to academic side of campus.*

- Special 3 ring telephone tone for emergencies

*This is currently not feasible, and even if so, is not considered to be effective*

- Residence life staff

*They are currently trained in emergency procedures and to go door-to-door in case of emergency*

- Use phone GPS function to locate students

*Not feasible at this time, currently police function*

- Siren or horn in building w/generator

*It is important to locate our low-tech mass communication system in a facility with back-up power*

- On-campus radio announcements

*This can be done with WSAM and WWUH, although their locations on campus could compromise their effectiveness*

- Flag or sign on Bloomfield Ave to tell people not to enter

*This is not feasible and would be superfluous to "locking down entrances"*

- Blue lights on flash

*This technology is currently being developed but would require a whole new system, and more stations than currently exist. The approximate cost for needed new stations in our system would be 32K*

- Pulsing lights on campus (street lights)

*This is not currently feasible*

- Get messages on campus public televisions and use some kind of emergency broadcast system

*This should be explored, but we do not see this as a first-response vector*

### **Emergency Response:**

- Situation Management Team

*The current structure, process, and response history is good, we do not see need for substantial change. We do recommend that Tom Dorer, University General Counsel, be added as an "on-call" member of the SMT.*

- Public safety & ORL response (if residential problem)

*It does not appear that there are currently any problems in this area. Of course, the more Public Safety staff the better, but there are cost implications.*

- Outside responders on campus as needed

*This process is working fine and does not need substantial change (see next bullet)*

- Comprehensive plan – community has access

*We have a plan; it is shared with West Hartford, Bloomfield and Hartford emergency response groups. Our plan must be updated as regards the outcome of this report*

- Develop rallying points on campus (at least 3)

*There is a good idea here, and we suggest it be pursued even though the idea has some inherent drawbacks. Currently, the default rallying points would be GSU and the Sports Center.*

- Outside responding agencies given floor plans and numbered entrances

*This is an area in which we can and should improve. Improvement would involve providing a detailed map of every building with numbered entrances and rooms to local police, fire and emergency response*

- NIMS and ICS training

*Judy Carlson, George Brophy and Norm Young are in process. All Public Safety personnel are in process of ICS training. SMT members should all be NIMS certified*

- Continue Cleary reporting

*We are currently performing to national standards*

- Cancel classes/closing campus plan

*Our existing systems are adequate; we should develop language and processes surrounding cancellations to enhance the process.*

- Replace Public Safety with academy trained Police Force

*No legislation currently exists to allow us to do this. For full turnover, it will double or triple Public Safety costs. Something of this nature may be needed as we bring PAC on line*

- Have 1 officer per shift fully academy trained (like a sheriff)

*Lowers cost for having an armed presence but not very feasible due to multiple campuses, heavy demands at same time, etc... would require tremendous cooperation with Hartford/West Hartford police departments.*

- Public Safety Director is system administrator that sends E2 campus messages (if need-be without committee)

*This is recommendation of VTU investigation, we have adopted.*

- Campus lockdown when appropriate

*We have the means and already do this if necessary*

- Put Tom Dorer on extended SMT

*We recommend that this happen. It has already been brought to the attention of the SMT Chair.*

- Improve already existing camera monitoring system

*Could be done at following costs: \$100,000 for new cameras and technology; \$250,000 per year for 24/7 staffing of monitors. This would entail full time personnel monitoring all covered areas of campus during every shift.*

- Bolt cutters for all cruisers

*This VTU Commission recommendation was fully implemented over the summer.*

### **Dealing with Individually Troubled Community Members:**

- Report to Invisible Support Network, develop & implement plan

*We have developed a plan and Sue Fitzgerald is implementing this plan. There needs to be faculty/staff training*

- Report to Public Safety

*In place, faculty/staff training needs to be done*

- Report to Vice President of Student Affairs office

*In place, faculty/staff training needs to be done*

- Training for faculty/staff

*Must be developed and implemented. Stand-up training and educational materials*

- Policy for how to handle students or other community members posing a “threat”

*These need to be developed, but should be “processes or procedures” not “policies”. Then there must be training. We are currently looking for models.*

- FERPA/HIPPA release form

*A campus wide (all dept.) release form is in the final stages of development by a cross-departmental team. It will be ready for roll-out during orientation 08*

## **Training and Education:**

### **Emergency Communication:**

- What to do upon initial contact

*There must be training/educational materials for all campus community members*

- Inform others in an emergency

*There must be training/educational materials for all campus community members*

### **Dealing with individual Community Members:**

- HIPPA/FERPA (how much can we communicate with others)

*We need to provide training and materials for all campus community members*

- Non-violent communication (e.g. Marshall Rosenberg)

*This recommendation is for outside training for communication skill enhancement. This should be evaluated, but internal resources should also be evaluated.*

- Recognizing distress in colleagues/students. What to do next

*Again, training and resource materials are key components of this recommendation*

- Faculty/staff workbook on social issues

*This should be developed, we have requested that some models be shared with us*

- Invisible Support Network plan

*Currently in planning stages, coordinated by Susan Fitzgerald*

- What students should do if they are first to learn of a threat

*Again, training and materials should be developed and implemented*

## **Emergency Response:**

- Campus 1<sup>st</sup> responder training

*This refers to Public Safety and Residence Life staff. Currently we are excellent at or above external benchmarks in this arena and will continue our current course*

- Once we figure out what is happening, make sure we follow up with campus community

*We must maintain focus on this recommendation as a charge to SMT to communicate as quickly and effectively as possible and appropriate*

- Test run

*Minimally, the SMT should engage in tabletop exercises. Maximally, we should engage in an all-campus exercise*

- Training exercise

*This could help the campus but is very costly and difficult to execute with existing staffing, questions include: Who plans? How often? When?*

- ORL and Greek Life training

*We are currently doing this excellently at or above external benchmarks and will continue to do so*

- Re-evaluate CISD (Critical Incident Stress Debriefing)

*We recommend that this be a charge to the SMT, in collaboration with Counseling and Psychological Services*

- Develop emergency plan response manuals

*This fits as part of the overall need for training and materials for the campus community*

## **CONCLUSIONS:**

The following represent the major tactical considerations resulting from the work of the Commission:

As related to campus access, the Commission recommends that we continue a squad car presence at the main entrance at night as often as possible, barricade the entrance and use existing gates to “lock down” access to the campus if necessary, put prop-alarms on all residence hall doors that are not main entrances and explore the potential of making classroom doors lockable from the inside.

Many high and low tech tactics emerged during the work of the Commission in the arena of emergency communications. As stated earlier, we have already adopted a high-tech emergency text system, and are also working on pricing for a low-tech siren system for the campus. Other tactical considerations in this arena include emergency procedure stickers on phones and backs of doors, and increasing our number of blue light kiosks and putting them on flash in an emergency.

While our current emergency response is considered very good, the Commission recommends the addition of University General Counsel as an “on-call” member of the Situation Management Team, that we put more comprehensive building plans than currently available in the hands of off-campus first responders, and work to improve the existing camera monitoring systems.

As related to dealing with individually troubled students or other community members, we recommend training and development in this arena for faculty and staff, and continued work on the campus-wide FERPA/HIPPA release, to take effect in January, 2008.

As related to training and education, we have a great many needs in terms of developing or acquiring training programs, delivering them and evaluating them.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

Along with our support for the various tactical issues mentioned in the Emergent Issues and Conclusions sections, a major strategic recommendation emerged from the work of the Commission. Given the scope of the need for development of emergency plans, training materials and response tactics, along with delivery of training, no one office or department currently exists whose “job” it is to accomplish these tasks. In our experience, it would be fine to recommend that all these tactics be executed, but without a “champion” whose responsibility it is to carry out the recommendations, the odds that everything will get done are low.

With the above thoughts in mind, the Campus Safety Commission recommends the creation of a new position, tentatively titled University Safety Manager, whose job it would be to manage all campus safety risks, including not only emergency response, but hazardous materials, OSHA guidelines, etc. This position and support for it would cost between \$150k and \$175k/year, and would greatly increase our ability to effectively manage emergency and non-emergency risk. It would be the job of the person in this

position to make the tactical recommendations of the Campus Safety Commission a reality.

**Appendix A:**

University of Hartford

Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crimes Statistic Act

**UNIVERSITY OF HARTFORD**

**MAIN CAMPUS** 200 Bloomfield Avenue, West Hartford, CT (multi-use facility)

THE JEANNE CLERY DISCLOSURE OF CAMPUS SECURITY POLICY AND CAMPUS CRIMES  
STATISTICS ACT

CATEGORY	VENUE	2004	2005	2006
<b>CRIMINAL HOMICIDE</b>  Murder and Non-Negligent Manslaughter				
	• On Campus	0	0	0
	• In dormitories or other residential facilities	0	0	0
	• In or on a noncampus building or property	0	0	0
	• On public property	0	0	0
Negligent Manslaughter				
	• On Campus	0	0	0
	• In dormitories or other residential facilities	0	0	0
	• In or on a noncampus building or property	0	0	0
	• On public property	0	0	0
<b>SEX OFFENSES:</b>  Forcible Rape				
	• On Campus	1	3	0
	• In dormitories or other residential facilities	1	2	0

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In or on a noncampus building or property</li> </ul>	0	0	0
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• On public property</li> </ul>	0	0	1
Forcible Fondling				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• On Campus</li> </ul>	2	1	6
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In dormitories or other residential facilities</li> </ul>	1	1	1
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In or on a noncampus building or property</li> </ul>	0	0	0
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• On public property</li> </ul>	0	0	0

		2004	2005	2006
Nonforcible				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• On Campus</li> </ul>	0	0	0
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In dormitories or other residential facilities</li> </ul>	0	0	0
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In or on a noncampus building or property</li> </ul>	0	0	0
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• On public property</li> </ul>	0	0	0
ROBBERY				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• On Campus</li> </ul>	0	0	0
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In dormitories or other residential facilities</li> </ul>	0	0	0

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In or on a noncampus building or property</li> </ul>	0	0	0
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On public property</li> </ul>	0	6	0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On Campus</li> </ul>	2	1	1
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In dormitories or other residential facilities</li> </ul>	0	1	1
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In or on a noncampus building or property</li> </ul>	0	0	0
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On public property</li> </ul>	1	0	1
BURGLARY				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On Campus</li> </ul>	61	91	95
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In dormitories or residential facilities</li> </ul>	52	63	80
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In or on noncampus building or property</li> </ul>	1	0	0
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On public property</li> </ul>	8	10	8

		2004	2005	2006
ARSON				
	• On Campus	0	0	0
	• In dormitories or other residential facilities	0	0	0
	• In or on noncampus building or property	0	0	0
	• On public property	0	0	0
MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT				
	• On Campus	5	5	10
	• In dormitories or other residential facilities	0	0	0
	• In or on noncampus building or property	0	0	0
	• On public property	3	14	11
ARREST FOR: Liquor law violations				
	• On Campus	1	0	1
	• In dormitories or other residential facilities	0	0	1
	• In or on noncampus building or property	0	0	0
	• On public property	0	0	0

Drug law violations	• On Campus	12	20	9
	• In dormitories or other residential facilities	10	15	4
	• In or on noncampus building or property	0	0	0
	• On public property	1	0	0

		2004	2005	2006
Weapons possession	• On Campus	0	0	0
	• In dormitories or other residential facilities	0	0	0
	• In or on a noncampus building or property	0	0	0
	• On public property	0	0	0
<b>DISCIPLINARY REFERRALS:</b>				
Liquor law violations	• On Campus	244	375	455
	• In dormitories or other residential facilities	244	338	410
	• In or on a noncampus building or property	0	0	0
	• On public property	0	0	0
Drug law violations	• On Campus	245	294	264

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In dormitories or other residential facilities</li> </ul>	245	290	241
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In or on a noncampus building or property</li> </ul>	0	0	0
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On public property</li> </ul>	0	0	0
Weapons possession				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On Campus</li> </ul>	9	17	8
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In dormitories or other residential facilities</li> </ul>	8	16	7
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In or on a noncampus building or property</li> </ul>	0	0	0
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On public property</li> </ul>	0	0	0

# HATE CRIME STATISTICS – MAIN CAMPUS

2004																												
Offense Type	Race				Gender				Religion				Sexual Orientation				Ethnicity				Disability							
	OC	RF	NC	PP	OC	RF	NC	PP	OC	RF	NC	PP	OC	RF	NC	PP	OC	RF	NC	PP	OC	RF	NC	PP				
<i>Criminal Homicide:</i>																												
Murder & Nonnegligent Manslaughter	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Negligent Manslaughter	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Sex Offenses:</i>																												
Forcible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-forcible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aggravated Assault	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Burglary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Simple Assault	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2005																												
Offense Type	Race				Gender				Religion				Sexual Orientation				Ethnicity				Disability							
	OC	RF	NC	PP	OC	RF	NC	PP	OC	RF	NC	PP	OC	RF	NC	PP	OC	RF	NC	PP	OC	RF	NC	PP				
<i>Criminal Homicide:</i>																												
Murder & Nonnegligent Manslaughter	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Negligent Manslaughter	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Sex Offenses:</i>																												
Forcible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-forcible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aggravated Assault	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Burglary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Simple Assault	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2006																												
Offense Type	Race				Gender				Religion				Sexual Orientation				Ethnicity				Disability							
	OC	RF	NC	PP	OC	RF	NC	PP	OC	RF	NC	PP	OC	RF	NC	PP	OC	RF	NC	PP	OC	RF	NC	PP				
<i>Criminal Homicide:</i>																												
Murder & nonnegligent Manslaughter	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Negligent Manslaughter	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Sex Offenses</i>																												
Forcible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-forcible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Robbery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aggravated Assault	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Burglary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Simple Assault	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**KEY:**

- OC – On Campus
- RF – Residential Facilities
- NC – Non-Campus Buildings or Property
- PP – Public Property